

completely sincere in this and I know that you believe that it would save money. So I think that maybe I have a duty to explain a little more of the mechanics of how this would work and maybe clear up a few misunderstandings. Misunderstanding number one, somehow these four examiners out there, I think that everybody believes are going to be full time doctors just working as medical examiners. No. They would try to select from across the state and basically this is just trying to have a very specific system so everybody knows what they are doing. They would select lets say Dr. Jones in North Platte. They would say, Dr. Jones, would you agree to be on a contract basis or whatever to fill out the forms when the call comes in to provide the information, so on and so forth, on a case basis or hourly basis or whatever. But at least they have some identifiable point of information now. By eliminating that person, you don't eliminate the problem so the cost is still going to be there somewhere, on that particular case when it occurs, that death that you need information on it is going to occur. Now is it additional money? I think that is the second area of confusion. No. You might as well know right now and I guess I haven't stated it and maybe that is my fault, nobody else has really brought it up, this is a subsidy to local government. This bill is a subsidy to local government to a degree, because certain costs that are now being borne by the local government, for example, lets just take Ida Fitzgibbons or Lancaster County, some case here, there you have the pathology, the county attorney goes out and hires a pathologist. You have it done, the county pays it. Under this system we are saying the state in order to have a coordinated system is going to pay for these. So in terms of new money, additional costs, I suspect as I stated earlier you are actually spending less money. Now you might say later on, well, why do we need to put a penny into it? Why don't we set up such a system, such a system that the state pays maybe the state medical examiner and so on and so forth but then they charge the counties back or they charge the particular subdivision of government, that would be something that you could do. Except for one thing, you have got to get 25 or 30, what 30-33 votes to do it and then I assume the county officials and other people are going to oppose it. The cost in this bill is really no additional cost to government if you really think about it. These expenses are occurring one way or another. We are setting up a system, we are using people out there in the field that have the expertise and saying you will use these people under these cases. Moneywise, if you decide after Select File that you want to make some adjustment to recoup some of the cost of doing this by putting it back where it is now, that is another thing. But simply to eliminate the particular examiners really doesn't accomplish what you are saying because you still have the system where the state is responsible for the medical examinations and the real cost is going to be there whether it is done by somebody here or somebody